facts in particular ways, even when this was not the motive of the speakers themselves. Congressmen, he said, as loyal subjects of the State, ought not to occasion such a state of affairs, and as matters stood, he feared that if the order was withdrawn there was a possibility of the situation sometimes going out of control. This was why he suggested that the prohibitory order should remain in force in the case of certain areas for some time more.

Mr. K. T. BHASHYAM AIYANGAR thanked the First Member of Council for the sympathetic reply made by him and hoped that the prohibitory order would be withdrawn at an early date.

The PRESIDENT stated that, relying upon the promise of co-operation made by the mover and his supporter, Government would favourably consider the request contained in the adjournment motion. Everybody, he hoped, knew the reasons for keeping the prohibitory order in force. One of these had been mentioned by Mr. G. Mallanna. It was not the object of Government to curtail the civil liberties of the public in any way. On the contrary, Government were anxious that every one should feel contented, happy and proud of the State and its administration.

Mr. K. T. BHASHYAM AIYANGAR expressed his gratitude to the President for his very kind assurance and said that Government need have no doubt about the co-operation between themselves and the Congress Party in future. In fact, it was the one ambition of every subject of the State—be he a Congressman or not—that the name and fame of the State should spread far and wide, and every one was sure to co-operate with the Government in every possible way to achieve that ambition; and in view of the Dewan-President's kind assurance that the prohibitory order would be withdrawn as soon as possible, he said he would not press the motion.

On behalf of the Assembly, **Lokasevaniratha Bale SIDDALINGA SETTY** thanked Mr. Bhashyam Aiyangar for his assurance that the Congress Party would co-operate with the Government He hoped that all Congressmen would act up to this promise of their own accredited spokesman and enable all concerned to forget the unpleasant memories of the recent past.

The adjournment motion was not pressed.

The House adjourned at this stage (4-50 p.m.) to meet again the next day (Thursday, 6th October) at 1 p.m., in view of the Convocation of the University in the morning.

SEVENTH DAY.

Thursday, 6th October 1938.

The House reassembled at the Jagan Mohan Palace Pavilion, on Thursday, 6th October 1938, at 1 of the clock, Mr. President (*Amin-ul-Mulk* Sir Mirza M. Ismail, K.C.I.E., O.B.E., Dewan) in the Chair.

Before the business of the day was taken up, **Lokasevaniratha Bale SIDDALINGA SETTY** felicitated the following officers of Government and non-official gentlemen on being the recipients of the titles noted against their names at the Dasara Durbar held by His Highness the Maharaja the previous night:—

Mr. A. V. Ramanathan	... ...	*Rajasevaprasakta.*
„ B. T. Kesavaiengar	... ...	*Rajasevaprasakta.*
Rao Bahadur M. C. Ranga Iyengar	...	*Rajasevaprasakta.*
Mr. Haji Mahomed Mustapha Sahib	...	*Najm-ut-Tujjar.*

Referring to the services rendered by the said officers, he said that when Mr. A. V. Ramanathan was Deputy Commissioner of the Mysore District, he

took very keen interest, as President of the Town Formation Committee, in the reconstruction of the Krishnarajanagara Town and that in Mr. B. T. Kesavaiengar the people had a very kind and sympathetic officer. As regards the two non-official gentlemen, he stated that *Rao Bahadur* M. C. Ranga Iyengar was a leading advocate of over 40 years' standing and a gentleman who commanded great respect at the hands of the people. Mr. Haji Mahomed Mustapha Sahib was a leading businessman of Kolar who was noted for his liberal outlook and philanthropy. The speaker said he had great pleasure in congratulating all these gentlemen and he wished them long life and prosperity.

Mr. H. K. MADIAH offered the congratulations of the House to Mr. B. M. Srikantiah, who was whole-heartedly working for the advancement of Kannada literature, on being the recipient of the title of *Rajasevasakta*.

Rajasevaprasakta B. T. KESAVAIENGAR thanked Mr. Bale Siddalinga Setty for the kind sentiments expressed by him.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS—*concld.*

Khadi Production.

Q.—45. **Messrs. Venkalpathi THIMMIAH** and **Patel MALLAPPA** (Chitaldrug Taluk); **T. SHADAKSHARAPPA** and **D. SIVASANKARIAH** (Challakere Taluk); **K. KENCHAPPA** and **B. SITHARAMIAH** (Hiriyur Taluk); **GOWDA ESWARAPPA** of Dogganal and **GOWDA ESWARAPPA** (Holalkere Taluk); **Patel CHENNAPPA** and **GOWDA SIVAPPA** (Davangere Taluk); **Patti BASAPPA** and **KAMAREDDI MALLAPPA** (Molakalmuru Taluk); **J. S. MAHALINGAPPA** and **MALKAPPA** (Jagalur Taluk); **Alampalli NANJUNDA SETTY** and **P. S. DORASWAMI NAIDU** (Hosadurga Taluk); **BASAPPA** *alias* **ROGAPPA** (Harihar Sub-Taluk); **M. GURUSIDDAPPA** (Davangere Municipality); **MAHOMED USMAN SAHIB** (Harihar Municipality); **C. H. ABDUL RAZAK, SYED MOHIDEEN HUSSAIN** and **A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK** (Minorities); **S. RANGA RAO** (Chitaldrug Municipality).

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) whether it is a fact, that a publication called "The Mysore Bulletin" is being issued by the Government Publicity Office;

(*b*) whether it is published therein that the production of khadi is undertaken by Government;

(*c*) the value of khadi produced in centres established by Government annually since 1935;

(*d*) the cost of khadi purchased by Government since 1935 out of the quantity produced;

(*e*) the total cost of cloth purchased by Government in all its branches since 1935 (details to be furnished yearwar)?

A.—**The SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT, DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT (Mr. M. Venkatanaranappa).**—

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) Yes.

(*c*) and (*d*) Please see statement attached (*vide* Appendix).

(*e*)—

Year	No. of Yards	Value Rs. a p.
1935-36 ...	90,672	33,963 0 5
1936-37 ..	108,519	41,565 8 0
1937-38 ...	101,520	38,994 14 0

Mr. T. MADIAH GOWDA.—Are the Government aware that year after year the quantity of khadi purchased by the Government is getting reduced?

Mr. M. VENKATANARANAPPA.—No.

Mr. T. MADIAH GOWDA.—Is it not a fact that in 1934-35, 50 per cent of the production was purchased by the Government?

Mr. M. VENKATANARANAPPA.—Yes, but apart from the purchases made by Government, a good quantity was used by the spinners and weavers in that area itself.

Mr. T. MADIAH GOWDA.—Is it because others are purchasing khadi that Government have reduced their purchases?

Mr. M. VENKATANARANAPPA.—The demand from Government for the article remains the same. Because the production is now larger, the quantities purchased by Government appear to be less in proportion to the total quantity manufactured.

Mr. T. MADIAH GOWDA.—What are all the purposes for which khadi is being purchased by the Government?